UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,076	01/25/2001	Michael D. Krysiak	P/35-4	7143	
Philip M. Weiss	7590 12/14/201 s, Esq.	1	EXAM	INER	
Weiss & Weiss 300 Old Country Road			VALENTI, ANDREA M		
Suite 251	y Koau		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Mineola, NY 11	1501		3643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary 09/769,076 KRYSIAK				
Applicant-lintiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANDREA VALENTI	3643			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):				
(1) <u>ANDREA VALENTI</u> .	(3) Michael D. Krysiak.				
(2) <u>Philip M. Weiss</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 08 December 2011.					
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description: See Continuation Sheet.	□ No.				
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 0tl (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and deta					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26-28,30,32,38,47,54 and 55</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. Patent No. 4,067,140; U.S. Patent No. 6,021,598 U.S. Patent No. 5,766,312;U.S. Patent No. 5,734,167; U.S. Patent No. 6,019,062</u> .					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)					
See Continuation Sheet.					
Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview suinterview	applicant is given a non-extendable pe	riod of the longer of	one month or		
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.					
☐ Attachment					
/Andrea M. Valenti/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 8/11/2010) Interview Summary Paper No. 20111211

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 09/769,076

Continuation of Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted, If Yes, brief description: Applicant provided four samples of colored product. Two of the samples were dry and the other two samples were wet. Applicant provided an additional two samples of a product on the market that had no dye, but changed color when wet. Finally, applicant provided four more samples of a dyed product that didn't change color when wet..

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided a summary of technologies in the industry that are copying the claimed invention (Scotts EZSeed; Schultz, Vigoro, Pennington). Applicant provided a background description of how the product works and the importance of the product in grass production. Grass seed needs water and moisture to germinate. The use of this "water me technology" motivates the user on how to productively care for the grass seed. The mulch that is mixed with grass seed acts as a water indicator that indicates to the user the moisture content of the surface soil. Therefore, by looking at the color of the mulch the user can determine if he needs to add more water or not. Applicant discussed the importance of production of the product by agglomeration and that the Thomas references teaches away from agglomeration. Applicant proposed a method claim such as a method of determining the moisture content of the soil comprising: placing mulch product on soil; said soil containing seeds/plants; determining moisture content of the soil by color of the mulch; said mulch color intensity changes with presence of moisture; adjusting mositure level of soil in response to color of mulch; agglomeration. The examiner indicated the concern that the claim language may read on the inherent properties of clay/coir mulches. Applicant indicated that it is a new use for an old method. The mulch absorbs and releases the water. The significant aspect is the relationship of the mulch mositure level and that of the soil and seed moisture level. Further determinations on the application is pending an updated search. The examiner indicated that she would discuss the application with her supervisor Peter Poon. Applicant provided samples of how their product changes color when wet.